New Left Review (On the Working of the Party – PCF and CPIM, CPIML, CPI)
By Perry Anderson and Louis Althusser with Shomit Sirohi

Part I – The Originals

I. The Working of a Party – its Organic Composition and its Party-Form in France and India – a Comparative

Imagine then, the party-form debate in Mexico with Revueltas, there was from his standpoint, an argument on the working of the party – that in fact it develops a bureaucratic centralisation of what is actually a democratic mass ensemble of in fact grass-root democracy which then develops the line for the party centralisers. This then would be a separate working of the party – it would develop organs and councils of the party which give the line to the centralist party and develop a working structure and formalism which is more dynamic and develops the history of CPSU debates against this structure of Revueltas as too idealist in character – "that I expect the students to mobilize a mass demonstration and mass working class unions from the perspective of an organizer who is a political actor – no I prefer the democratic centralist party to give the line from above and be imbibed from below."

To which Revueltas in 1980 left the CPM in Mexico which was the argument of resignation "I think CPSU is a dictatorship of class in Soviet Union which does not involve real democracy at all."

II. PCF and and CPI, CPIM and CPIML – the History of its Working Party Structure – 1980 – 1990

In fact the period from 1980 to 1990 was a period where the parties decided to form a council structure like Revueltas and innovate against the CPSU and developed a wrong line according to CPSU of developing grass-root forms of organisers – Amarjeet Sirohi, even Pushpendra Grewal and Sitaram Yechury including in fact Achutanandan in Kerala and Jyoti Basu in Bengal called this the correct line for the masses to be mobilized which in PCF was the format still followed of leading by oneself the party line which would make it a democratic mass formalism.

Its failure was announced in 1990 – 2000, the period Amarjeet was working with Shomit Sirohi, the leader now in 2020 – 24 of a revolution in India, which is about the mass formalism to be changed to CPSU line again – which is democratic centralism which will become democratic if in fact it is like Gramsci – a militant organisation which has cultural hegemony for the parliament and wins that race and leaves to the grass-root the stance of organizer which will be a trade union or peasant union and feminist union which is all we need to debate.

Shomit Sirohi is currently leading another student working committee – this is a special note now.

III. Student Organisation in the Context of Mass line and mass practices of demonstrations in India – 2020 – 2024 – France and India again

So in fact the working of the party is now a syllogistic line – it develops as CPIM, CPI and CPIML developing a programmatic line along with PCF and other parties in

Europe and Argentina, even Cuba and in fact China which develops the line as in fact the Sirohi comment – let there be a mass demonstration in Kerala and other such regions, which then starts a mass organizing there which is now let there be a student formalism which develops in fact a mass line of working class demonstration which SFI goes for or even AISA – as in fact a student culture is developing in India which is in charge of university life which includes in fact mass demonstrations with the PCF in Paris which is its decided current line which deepens the history of party form debates to include not a apparatchick culture but a Perry Anderson type organizer discourse which then is underway in the world and in India – compare the two forms in CPSU and Mexico and India –

Grassroot organizer form – Mexico (still debating)

Mass line from the central party – India and under Sirohi as well
Mass line from the party with student mass line which then is intellectual discourse and activism including introducing an EGS formalism to be working with us in Sarai – all of which is a centralized discourse of intellectual type becoming the formalism fitting the students – (formalism to do with the subject or theory of discourse of the subject and pertainint to the subject of student politics)

IV. Graeco-Roman Studies by Croix and his working of the Party in CPB History

In fact the Graeco-Roman world which was an expanse being reported in New Left Review is then called a class in Croix's sense that he thinks the party is a formalism called slave insurrections in the past, which then in the present is also about reflecting on history among the students and intellectuals and frees all of us "I think the student and intellectual dialectic is important to working class demonstrations and peasant fronts – it develops the Sohn-Rethel thesis I meant of intellectual labour with the masses and abolishes the problem of intellectual classes as well as working classes as the direction and execution in the Party becomes dialectical as Revueltas demands."